

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

BILLY TYLER,)	
)	
Petitioner,)	8:11CV103
)	
v.)	
)	
HUBER and DOUGLAS COUNTY)	MEMORANDUM AND ORDER
DISTRICT COURT,)	
)	
Respondents.)	
)	

On July 18, 2011, the Court dismissed petitioner's habeas corpus claims and entered judgment against him (Filing Nos. [8](#) and [9](#)). On July 21, 2011, petitioner filed a Notice of Appeal (Filing No. [10](#)).

However, before petitioner may appeal the dismissal of his Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus, a "Certificate of Appealability" must issue. Pursuant to the Antiterrorism and Effective Death Penalty Act of 1996 ("AEDPA"), the right to appeal such a dismissal is governed by [28 U.S.C. § 2253\(c\)](#), which states:

(c) (1) Unless a circuit justice or judge issues a certificate of appealability, an appeal may not be taken to the court of appeals from-

(A) the final order in a habeas corpus proceeding in which the detention complained of arises out of process issued by a State court;

• • •

- (2) A certificate of appealability may issue under paragraph (1) only if the applicant has made a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right.
- (3) The certificate of appealability under paragraph (1) shall indicate which specific issue or issues satisfy the showing required by paragraph(2).

¹

A certificate of appealability may issue only if the applicant has made a substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right. See 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2). Such a showing requires a demonstration "that reasonable jurists could debate whether (or, for that matter, agree that) the petition should have been resolved in a different manner or that the issues presented were adequate to deserve encouragement to proceed further." Slack v. McDaniel, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000) (internal quotation marks omitted), citing Barefoot v. Estelle, 463 U.S. 894 (1983) (defining pre-AEDPA standard for a certificate of probable cause to appeal).

Petitioner has not filed a motion for a Certificate of Appealability or a brief in support. (See Docket Sheet.) Thus,

¹ Similarly, Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 22(b), as amended by the AEDPA, indicates that in an action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254, a notice of appeal triggers the requirement that the district judge who rendered the judgment either issue a certificate of appealability or state the reasons why such a certificate should not issue. See generally Tiedeman v. Benson, 122 F.3d 518, 521 (8th Cir. 1997).

this matter cannot proceed on appeal until the question of the certificate of appealability is considered. Accordingly,

IT IS ORDERED:

1. Petitioner shall have until August 22, 2011, to file a motion for certificate of appealability and brief in support.

2. In the event that petitioner fails to file a motion and brief, as set forth in this memorandum and order, the Court will deny the issuance of a certificate of appealability without further notice.

3. The clerk of the court is directed to set a pro se case management in this case with the following text: August 22, 2011: check for filing of motion for certificate of appealability.

DATED this 25th day of July, 2011.

BY THE COURT:

/s/ Lyle E. Strom

LYLE E. STROM, Senior Judge
United States District Court

* This opinion may contain hyperlinks to other documents or Web sites. The U.S. District Court for the District of Nebraska does not endorse, recommend, approve, or guarantee any third parties or the services or products they provide on their Web sites. Likewise, the Court has no agreements with any of these third parties or their Web sites. The Court accepts no responsibility for the availability or functionality of any hyperlink. Thus, the fact that a hyperlink ceases to work or directs the user to some other site does not affect the opinion of the Court.